



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

			vvasnin	gton, D.C. 20231		(50)
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/295,709	04/21/99	JIANG		1	2911.1US	
 JOSEPH A WALKOWSKI TRASK BRITT & ROSSA P O BOX 2550		MM92/1003			EXAMINER	
				GRAYBIL.	L.D	
				ART UNIT	PAPEI	R NUMBER
SALT LAKE CI	TY UT 84110			2814		de
				DATE MAILE	0: 10/03/00	- (

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/295,709

Jiang et al.

Examiner

David E. Graybill

Group Art Unit 2814



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Apr 1999					
☐ This action is FINAL .					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal m in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11	atters, prosecution as to the merits is closed; 453 O.G. 213.				
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of tim 37 CFR 1.136(a).	within the period for response will cause the				
Disposition of Claims					
X Claim(s) 7, 8, and 13-16	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above, claim(s)					
Claim(s)					
☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to					
☐ Claimsar					
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on 21 Apr 1999 is \$\infty\$ approved \$\infty\$ disapproved. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). All \$\infty\$ Some* \$\infty\$ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been \$\infty\$ received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
Attachment(s)					
X Notice of References Cited, PTO-892					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)3					
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948					
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOW	/ING PAGES				

Application/Control Number: 09295709

Art Unit: 2814

The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 4-21-99 have been approved.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Aulicino (6030889).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).